### Nutrition Research and Practice

# Original Research

( Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

 Received:
 Feb 23, 2021

 Revised:
 Apr 22, 2021

 Accepted:
 Aug 25, 2021

 Published online:
 Sep 7, 2021

#### <sup>s</sup>Corresponding Authors: Sooyoung Lee

Department of Pediatrics, Ajou University School of Medicine, 164 World cup-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon 16499, Korea. Tel. +82-31-219-5160 Fax. +82-31-219-5169 Email. jsjs87@ajou.ac.kr

#### Jeong Hee Kim

Department of Pediatrics, Inha University Hospital, Inha University College of Medicine, 27 Inhang-ro, Jung-gu, Incheon 22332, Korea. Tel. +82-32-890-2843 Fax. +82-32-890-2844 Email. kimjhmd@inha.ac.kr

©2022 The Korean Nutrition Society and the Korean Society of Community Nutrition This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### ORCID iDs

Jiyeon Yang D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1842-1631 Song I Yang D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9648-4585 Kyunguk Jeong D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1261-4507

# A partially hydrolyzed whey formula provides adequate nutrition in highrisk infants for allergy

Jiyeon Yang <sup>(b)</sup> <sup>1,2</sup>, Song I Yang <sup>(b)</sup> <sup>3</sup>, Kyunguk Jeong <sup>(b)</sup> <sup>4</sup>, Kyung Won Kim <sup>(b)</sup> <sup>5</sup>, Yoon Hee Kim <sup>(b)</sup> <sup>5</sup>, Taek Ki Min <sup>(b)</sup> <sup>6</sup>, Bok Yang Pyun <sup>(b)</sup> <sup>6</sup>, Jeongmin Lee <sup>(b)</sup> <sup>4,7</sup>, Ji A Jung <sup>(b)</sup> <sup>2</sup>, Jeong Hee Kim <sup>(b)</sup> <sup>8§</sup>, and Sooyoung Lee <sup>(b)</sup> <sup>4§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Integrated Biomedical and Life Science, Graduate School, Korea University, Seoul 02841, Korea

<sup>2</sup>Maeil Innovation Center, Maeil Dairies Co., Ltd., Pyeongtaek 17714, Korea <sup>3</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Hallym University Sacred Heart Hospital, Hallym University College of Medicine, Anyang 14068, Korea <sup>4</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Aigu University School of Medicine, Suwon 16400, Korea

<sup>4</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Ajou University School of Medicine, Suwon 16499, Korea <sup>5</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul 03722, Korea <sup>6</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Soonchunhyang University Hospital, Seoul 04401, Korea <sup>7</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Yonsei University Wonju College of Medicine, Wonju 26426, Korea <sup>8</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Inha University Hospital, Inha University College of Medicine, Incheon 22332, Korea

# ABSTRACT

**BACKGROUND/OBJECTIVES:** Hydrolyzed formula is often fed to infants with gastrointestinal or immune issues, such as malabsorption or cow's milk allergy, because enzymatic treatment has rendered it more digestible and less allergenic than standard cow's milk formula (SF). Partially hydrolyzed formula (PHF) should be considered for those infants who are intolerant to extensively hydrolyzed formula. However, there are concerns about the nutritional insufficiencies of PHF. We aimed to evaluate the effects of PHF on the growth and health indicators in infants who were at high-risk of allergic disease and potential candidates for consuming PHF.

**SUBJECTS/METHODS:** A total of 83 infants aged 0–2 mon with a family history of allergies were assigned to consume either PHF or SF until 24 weeks of age. Anthropometric measures were obtained at baseline, 12 weeks, and 24 weeks; blood samples were drawn and evaluated at the end of the study.

**RESULTS:** No significant differences were observed in weight, height, and weight-for-height at any time point in each sex between the PHF and SF groups. At 24 weeks of age, the weight-for-age and height-for-age z-scores of the SF group were higher than those of the PHF group, but there was no significant difference in the weight-for-height z-score. There were no significant differences in levels of white blood cells, hemoglobin, ferritin, protein, albumin, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, eosinophil cationic protein, and immunoglobulin E.

**CONCLUSIONS:** In this study, there were no differences in growth and blood panels between the infants consuming PHF or SF. Therefore, infants who are unable to tolerate SF can be fed PHF without nutritional concerns about growth.

Keywords: Infant formula; nutritional sciences; protein hydrolysates; infant; clinical trial



Kyung Won Kim 厄

 https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4529-6135

 Yoon Hee Kim (10)

 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2149-8501

 Taek Ki Min (10)

 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5078-5622

 Bok Yang Pyun (10)

 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6375-9394

 Jeongmin Lee (10)

 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1672-7445

 Ji A Jung (10)

 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5474-0977

 Jeong Hee Kim (10)

 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7054-8552

 Sooyoung Lee (10)

 https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1734-4101

#### Funding

This study was supported by a grant from the Maeil Innovation Center, Maeil Dairies Co., Ltd., Korea (15-0042).

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare a potential conflict of interest with J Yang and JA Jung, employees of the Maeil Innovation Center, regarding the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Lee S, Kim JH, Lee J, Jung JA; Data curation: Yang SI, Jeong K, Kim KW, Kim YH, Min TK, Pyun BY, Kim JH, Lee S; Formal analysis: Yang J, Lee S, Kim JH; Funding acquisition: Lee S; Investigation: Yang SI, Jeong K, Kim KW, Kim YH, Min TK, Pyun BY, Kim JH, Lee S; Methodology: Jung JA, Pyun BY, Kim JH, Lee S, Lee J; Supervision: Lee S, Kim JH; Writing - original draft: Yang J, Yang SI, Jeong K, Jung JA; Writing - review & editing: Lee S, Kim JH, Lee J.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Breastmilk has been long esteemed the gold standard for infant nutrition, providing a wealth of essential nutrients and bioactive components to support proper growth and development during the first 1,000 days. The World Health Organization (WHO) currently advises exclusive breastfeeding up to 6 mon [1], but this is not always feasible due to problems such as difficulties with lactation, poor hospital practices, and various cultural barriers [2-4]. In such instances, formulas are given instead.

Among the available formulas, the preferred formula for infants with gastrointestinal issues or a family history of allergies is the hydrolyzed formula [5-8]. Cow's milk allergy (CMA) is one of the most common food allergies in young children, affecting 2–3% of the pediatric population [9]. Hypoallergenic formula is recommended for infants with CMA who are not breastfeeding [10]. Extensively hydrolyzed formulas are tolerated in over 90% of infants with CMA [10]. However, extensively hydrolyzed formula cost more than standard cow's milk formulas (SFs) and are less palatable [10]. Partially hydrolyzed formula (PHF) is currently not recommended for patients with CMA [10]. However, some European studies evaluating the allergenicity of infant formulas reported that 40–60% of patients with CMA can tolerate PHF [11-13].

Hydrolyzed formula possesses a nutritional profile comparable to that of SF, though it has been enzymatically treated with hydrolase to yield peptides that are more easily digested and less allergenic [14-16]. Depending on the degree of hydrolysis and molecular weights of the resultant peptides, hydrolyzed formula can be classified into PHF which have peptides of 3–10 kDa or extensively hydrolyzed formula which is composed of > 90% of peptides with molecular weight of < 3 kDa [17]. The world's first PHF was made with a hydrolyzed whey protein with a molecular weight of 3–10 kDa in 1985 [14]. The PHF have been reported to be effective in supporting adequate growth, and reducing the risk of atopic dermatitis [18-20].

Pediatricians generally recommend PHF for satisfying an infant's nutritional requirements throughout the early postnatal period for infants who have difficulty to digest [5,7,21]. Additionally, for infants having a family history of allergy, PHF may reduce the risk of developing allergy [8,22]. The early stage of life has tremendous impact on infant's growth and development and therefore, supplementation of adequate nutrients is crucial. The purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of PHF on health indicators and growth in infants who were at high-risk of allergic disease and potential candidates for consuming PHF.

# **SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

#### **Subjects**

Subjects were recruited from 6 tertiary hospitals. Eligibility criteria included infants below 2 mon of age, exclusively feeding on formula, having at least one first-degree family member with allergies, being born  $\ge$  34 weeks' gestation, and weighing  $\ge$  2,500 g at birth (including  $\ge$  2,000 g of multiple births). Exclusion criteria consisted of consuming formulas other than PHF or SF 3 weeks prior to the study having a chronic disease, congenital or acquired immunodeficiency syndrome, and/or experiencing an allergic reaction to the study's formulas within the first 2 weeks of the study.



#### Study design and protocol

This was a prospective, multicenter, controlled trial. Upon enrollment, infants' birth weight, height, and family history of allergies, as well as the presence of a pet and individuals who smoked at home, were recorded. Anthropometrics were measured by trained personnel at baseline, 12 weeks, and 24 weeks of age. Weight-for-age, height-for-age, and weight-for-height were calculated using the WHO's infant growth chart [23]. Blood samples were tested to assess levels of white blood cells, hemoglobin, ferritin, protein, albumin, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, eosinophil cationic protein, total immunoglobulin E (IgE), as well as cow's milk-, soy-, alpha-lactalbumin-, beta-lactoglobulin-, and casein-specific IgE (ImmunoCAP; Thermofisher scientific, Uppsala, Sweden) at 24 weeks of age.

PHF (Absolute Sensitive<sup>®</sup>; Maeil Dairies Co., Ltd., Pyeongtaek, Korea) or SF (Absolute Myungjak<sup>®</sup>; Maeil Dairies Co., Ltd.), supplemented with docosahexaenoic and arachidonic acids, was given to infants by order of enrollment (**Table 1**). Both formulas provided adequate nutrients and were almost identical to each other, except the degree of hydrolysis of protein and the amount of galacto-oligosaccharides. PHF contains hydrolyzed whey protein for better digestibility. Compared to SF, an intact cow's milk protein formula, PHF reduced allergenicity. All caregivers were instructed to feed their infants as many times a day as needed until 24 weeks of age.

#### Ethics

The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki statement. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Board at Ajou University School of Medicine (AJIRB-MED-OBS-14-444), Inha University Hospital (INH-IRB15-0921), Hallym University Sacred Heart Hospital, Hallym University College of Medicine (2015-I092), Yonsei University College of Medicine (Gangnam, 2015-0008-001; Sinchon, 4-2015-0827), and Soonchunhyang University Hospital (2014-12-028). Caregivers provided written informed consent to participate in the study.

#### **Statistical analysis**

Results are listed on a per-protocol basis. Continuous variables are presented as median (interquartile range), and categorical variables as counts and percentages. The continuous and categorical variables were compared by the Mann-Whitney *U* test and Fisher's exact test, respectively. Differences in anthropometric measures between the groups were determined using Mann-Whitney *U* test. For the multiple comparisons of subgroup regarding sex,

Nutrients	PHF	SF
Calorie (kcal)	70	70
Carbohydrate (g)	8.7	8.7
Protein (g)	1.3	1.3
Lipid (g)	3.3	3.3
Linoleic acid (g)	0.5	0.5
Alpha-linolenic acid (mg)	60	60
Docosahexaenoic acid (mg)	15	15
Arachidonic acid (mg)	15	15
Eicosapentaenoic acid (mg)	4	4
Galacto-oligosaccharides (mg)	344	280
Fructo-oligosaccharides (mg)	56	56
Nucleotides (mg)	2.5	2.5

#### Table 1. Composition of the study formulas

Data are presented per 100 mL.

PHF, partially hydrolyzed formula; SF, standard cow's milk formula.



the significance was verified at P = 0.05/2 = 0.025 by applying the Bonferroni correction. Statistical analyses were conducted with SPSS (version 25, IBM Statistics; IBM Corp., New York, NY, USA), and P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

# RESULTS

#### **Baseline characteristics**

Eighty-three infants (56 in PHF group, 27 in SF group) participated in the study, 4 (2 in PHF group, 2 in SF group) withdrew, 2 (1 in PHF group, 1 in SF group) dropped out, and 2 (1 in PHF group, 1 in SF group) failed to complete the study because their caregivers refused the blood analysis. Subjects were randomly recruited in each group from the beginning of the study; however, due to difficulties in recruitment, the PHF group was recruited earlier than the SF group during the study period. Of the 79 infants who completed the study (54 in PHF group, 25 in SF group), 8 (7 in PHF group, 1 in SF group) were excluded from the final analysis because of missing data at 24 weeks of age, resulting in a final count of 71 (**Fig. 1**). No significant differences in age, sex, birth weight and height, or the home environment were observed between the groups (**Table 2**).



Fig. 1. Flow diagram of the study participants.

PHF, partially hydrolyzed formula; SF, standard cow's milk formula.

Table 2. Baseline characteristics according to formula groups

<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Characteristics	PHF (n = 47)	SF (n = 24)	P-value
Infant characteristics			
Sex (girls)	24 (51)	7 (29)	0.128
Age at baseline (weeks)	2.9 (2.1, 4.1)	3.2 (2.4, 3.8)	0.559
Birth weight (kg)	4.0 (3.7, 4.7)	4.3 (3.8, 4.7)	0.488
Birth height (cm)	52.3 (50.8, 55.0)	54.0 (51.6, 55.0)	0.330
Home environment characteristics			
Smoking	14 (30)	8 (33)	0.791
Pet ownership	3 (6)	1 (4)	1.000

Data are presented as median (interquartile range) or number (%).

Comparisons of continuous and categorical variables, respectively, were calculated using Mann-Whitney *U* test and Fisher's exact test.

PHF, partially hydrolyzed formula; SF, standard cow's milk formula.



#### Formula intakes and tolerance

Throughout the study period, there were no symptoms of food intolerance such as diarrhea, constipation, or refusal of intake in each group. The PHF and SF were well accepted by the infants, and there was no difference in adverse food reaction between the 2 formulas.

#### **Anthropometric measures**

There were no significant group effects or group-by-time interactions at baseline, 12 weeks, and 24 weeks of age for weight, height between the groups (**Table 3**). There were no significant differences in weight, height, and weight-for-height at baseline, 12 weeks, and 24 weeks of age in each sex between the PHF and SF groups. At baseline, weight-for-age z-score was higher in the PHF group; however, at 24 weeks of age, it was higher in the SF group and boys' subgroup. At 24 weeks of age, the weight-for-age and height-for-age z-scores of the SF group were higher than those of the PHF group, but there was no significant difference in the weight-for-height z-score. As a result of difference from baseline to 24 weeks of age, weight-for-age and height-for-age z-scores were higher in SF than PHF group, but there was no significant difference in the weight-for-height z-score (**Table 4**).

#### Laboratory parameters and atopic dermatitis

At 24 weeks of age, the total counts and proportions of white blood cells and levels of hemoglobin, ferritin, total protein, albumin, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, eosinophil cationic protein, as well as total IgE, cow's milk-, soy-, alpha-lactalbumin-, beta-lactoglobulin-, and casein-specific IgE did not reveal statistically significant differences between the groups (**Table 5**).

None of the infants had moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis caused by CMA during the study period.

Absolute values	Baseline			12 weeks			24 weeks		
	PHF (n = 47)	SF (n = 24)	P-value	PHF (n = 47)	SF (n = 24)	P-value	PHF (n = 47)	SF (n = 24)	P-value
Weight (kg)	4.00 (3.70, 4.70)	4.30 (3.75, 4.70)	0.488	7.00 (6.40, 7.70)	7.05 (6.50, 7.50)	0.846	8.30 (7.60, 9.00)	8.25 (7.55, 9.08)	0.865
Girls (n = 31)	3.90 (3.63, 4.50)	4.30 (3.50, 4.50)	0.8001)	6.50 (6.13, 7.23)	6.00 (5.80, 6.80)	0.1271)	7.65 (7.23, 8.60)	7.10 (6.60, 8.60)	0.1531)
Boys (n = 40)	4.20 (3.70, 4.80)	4.30 (3.90, 4.75)	0.9781)	7.40 (6.80, 8.40)	7.40 (7.00, 7.65)	0.3561)	8.60 (8.00, 9.10)	8.40 (8.0, 9.1)	0.5881)
Height (cm)	52.30 (50.80, 55.00)	54.00 (51.63, 55.00)	0.330	62.00 (60.50, 64.60)	63.00 (61.63, 63.88)	0.724	67.30 (66.00, 70.00)	67.65 (65.78, 69.60)	0.822
Girls	52.00 (51.08, 54.95)	52.80 (51.50, 54.40)	0.5321)	61.00 (60.00, 61.93)	60.80 (58.30, 63.00)	0.6951)	66.85 (65.40, 68.00)	65.70 (64.30, 67.40)	0.5631)
Boys	54.00 (50.50, 55.00)	54.50 (52.00, 55.00)	0.745 <sup>1)</sup>	64.60 (62.20, 66.10)	63.20 (62.00, 64.10)	0.1131)	69.10 (66.00, 71.70)	68.0 (66.70, 70.15)	0.6261)
Weight-for-age z-score	0.84 (0.26, 1.32)	0.41 (-0.37, 0.70)	0.008	0.72 (0.27, 1.36)	0.89 (0.12, 1.25)	0.981	0.09 (-0.34, 0.54)	0.47 (0.03, 1.32)	0.026
Girls zWFA	0.62 (0.12, 1.32)	-0.03 (-0.60, 0.82)	0.0431)	0.67 (0.25, 1.27)	0.03 (-0.42, 1.18)	0.1991)	0.07 (-0.22, 0.50)	-0.09 (-0.49, 1.35)	0.6951)
Boys zWFA	0.93 (0.31, 1.35)	0.47 (-0.09, 0.69)	0.0271)	1.01 (0.27, 1.48)	0.97 (0.58, 1.32)	0.9351)	0.13 (-0.38, 0.83)	0.68 (0.30, 1.43)	0.015 <sup>1)</sup>
Height-for-age z-score	0.63 (-0.25, 1.60)	0.36 (-0.71, 0.84)	0.066	0.44 (-0.16, 1.16)	0.53 (–0.07, 1.13)	0.942	-0.10 (-1.03, 0.76)	0.41 (-0.01, 1.22)	0.008
Girls zHFA	0.69 (0.11, 1.43)	0.44 (-0.97, 0.94)	0.2161)	0.21 (-0.67, 0.69)	-0.04 (-0.73, 1.14)	0.6611)	-0.31 (-0.98, 0.86)	0.22 (-0.21, 1.26)	0.1671)
Boys zHFA	0.08 (-0.33, 1.91)	0.28 (-0.57, 0.69)	0.3291)	0.81 (–0.05, 1.44)	0.64 (0.05, 1.24)	0.5881)	-0.05 (-1.14, 0.76)	0.43 (0.04, 1.41)	0.0271)
Weight-for-height z-score	0.53 (-0.03, 1.49)	0.16 (-0.84, 1.06)	0.060	0.63 (-0.05, 1.56)	0.65 (-0.31, 1.48)	0.684	0.10 (-0.70, 0.86)	0.35 (–0.19, 1.36)	0.368
Girls zWFH	0.30 (–0.35, 1.55)	-0.23 (-0.89, 0.74)	0.1271)	0.67 (–0.03, 1.52)	-0.52 (-1.09, 2.36)	0.3171)	0.13 (–0.38, 0.85)	0.01 (–1.58, 1.31)	0.9081)
Boys zWFH	0.74 (0.42, 1.39)	0.36 (-0.79, 1.09)	0.1411)	0.54 (-0.21, 2.10)	0.86 (-0.06, 1.46)	0.8081)	-0.09 (-0.85, 1.14)	0.38 (0.04, 1.46)	0.3151)

Table 3. Anthropometric measures at baseline, 12 weeks, and 24 weeks of age

Data are presented as median (interquartile range). *P*-values were derived from Mann-Whitney *U* test.

PHF, partially hydrolyzed formula; SF, standard cow's milk formula; zWFA, weight-for-age z-score; zHFA, height-for-age z-score; zWFH, weight-for-height z-score. <sup>1)</sup>The significance was verified at *P* = 0.025 by applying the Bonferroni correction.

#### Nutritional suitability of hydrolyzed formula



Table 4. Changes of anthropometric measures from baseline to 24 weeks of age

Absolute values Change from baseline to 12 weeks Char		Change from	ge from 12 weeks to 24 weeks		Change from baseline to 24 weeks				
	PHF (n = 47)	SF (n = 24)	P-value	PHF (n = 47)	SF (n = 24)	P-value	PHF (n = 47)	SF (n = 24)	P-value
Weight (kg)	3.10 (2.50, 3.60)	2.65 (2.33, 3.28)	0.240	1.20 (1.00, 1.60)	1.15 (0.83, 1.58)	0.692	4.20 (3.60, 5.00)	4.05 (3.25, 4.60)	0.355
Girls (n = 31)	2.65 (2.23, 3.33)	2.50 (1.60, 2.60)	0.1391)	1.25 (1.00, 1.48)	1.20 (0.80, 1.80)	0.5321)	3.95 (3.35, 4.65)	3.20 (2.80, 4.30)	0.1671)
Boys (n = 40)	3.40 (2.70, 3.90)	3.00 (2.45, 3.45)	0.2011)	1.10 (0.80, 1.60)	1.10 (0.85, 1.55)	0.9571)	4.40 (3.80, 5.10)	4.10 (3.70, 5.05)	0.4641)
Height (cm)	10.00 (7.20, 13.00)	8.85 (7.10, 10.93)	0.269	5.10 (3.50, 6.50)	5.00 (3.10, 7.20)	0.822	15.30 (12.40, 17.80)	13.00 (11.50, 18.73)	0.547
Girls	9.55 (6.18, 10.40)	6.90 (5.20, 10.30)	0.3411)	5.35 (4.73, 6.23)	6.30 (2.20, 9.10)	0.8351)	14.25 (11.20, 16.63)	11.70 (11.50, 16.80)	0.4441)
Boys	11.00 (9.00, 13.70)	9.50 (8.20, 12.05)	0.1561)	4.50 (3.00, 7.00)	5.00 (3.40, 7.10)	0.4981)	16.80 (12.50, 18.40)	13.2 (11.75, 18.90)	0.7451)
Weight-for-age z-score	0.07 (-0.31, 0.26)	0.44 (0.07, 0.93)	0.001	-0.73 (-1.27, -0.13)	-0.09 (-0.56, 0.29)	0.001	-0.65 (-1.35, -0.11)	0.25 (-0.30, 0.99)	0.000
Girls zWFA	0.01 (-0.42, 0.24)	0.36 (-0.68, 0.66)	0.199 <sup>1)</sup>	-0.76 (-1.28, 0.03)	-0.06 (-0.24, 0.33)	0.0541)	-0.68 (-1.48, 0.01)	0.11 (-0.38, 0.53)	0.0681)
Boys zWFA	0.10 (-0.21, 0.41)	0.55 (0.11, 0.99)	0.0111)	-0.73 (-1.25, -0.14)	-0.12 (-0.65, 0.27)	0.0041)	-0.65 (-1.26, -0.11)	0.45 (-0.14, 1.13)	0.0001)
Height-for-age z-score	-0.08 (-0.90, 0.45)	0.35 (–0.18, 1.17)	0.045	-0.54 (-1.44, 0.01)	0.29 (–1.13, 1.02)	0.009	-0.93 (-1.89, 0)	0.45 (-0.69, 2.05)	0.000
Girls zHFA	-0.43 (-0.92, 0.09)	0.24 (-0.98, 1.22)	0.2531)	-0.34 (-1.02, 0.26)	0.52 (–1.06, 1.49)	0.3411)	–0.77 (–1.94, 0.19)	-0.25 (-0.72, 2.46)	0.0851)
Boys zHFA	0.28 (-0.75, 0.93)	0.52 (-0.14, 1.29)	0.2901)	-0.61 (-1.62, -0.07)	0.29 (–1.17, 0.70)	0.0061)	–1.13 (–1.72, –0.26)	0.52 (-0.61, 2.05)	0.0011)
Weight-for-height z-score	0.04 (-0.46, 0.50)	0.28 (-0.73, 1.79)	0.258	-0.43 (-1.50, 0.34)	-0.19 (-1.06, 0.74)	0.288	-0.33 (-1.77, 0.65)	0.70 (–1.49, 1.54)	0.044
Girls zWFH	0.16 (–0.15, 0.58)	-0.20 (-0.99, 2.59)	0.8721)	-0.45 (-1.88, 0.34)	-0.09 (-1.06, 1.26)	0.5321)	-0.32 (-1.85, 0.64)	0.48 (–1.48, 1.54)	0.3171)
Boys zWFH	-0.13 (-0.52, 0.26)	0.45 (-0.54, 1.78)	0.1201)	-0.35 (-1.31, 0.46)	-0.28 (-1.03, 0.52)	0.4641)	-0.33 (-1.59, 0.68)	0.85 (–1.62, 2.11)	0.1261)
Data are presente	d as median (interg	uartile range).							

*P*-values were derived from Mann-Whitney *U* test.

PHF, partially hydrolyzed formula; SF, standard cow's milk formula; zWFA, weight-for-age z-score; zHFA, height-for-age z-score; zWFH, weight-for-height z-score. <sup>1</sup>)The significance was verified at *P* = 0.025 by applying the Bonferroni correction.

#### Table 5. Laboratory parameters at 24 weeks of age

3lood analysis	Group						
	PHF (n = 47)	SF (n = 24)	P-value				
White blood cells (cells/mm³)	10,160.0 (7,800.0, 12,600.0)	10,390.0 (8,290.0, 13,425.0)	0.381				
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	12.5 (11.9, 13.0)	12.5 (12.0, 12.7)	0.499				
Ferritin (ng/mL)	58.0 (44.0, 95.9)	75.1 (55.3, 114.5)	0.133				
Eosinophils (/mm³)	3.4 (2.8, 3.8)	3.5 (3.0, 3.7)	0.627				
Protein (g/dL)	6.2 (5.9, 6.5)	6.3 (6.0, 6.5)	0.541				
Albumin (g/dL)	4.6 (4.4, 4.7)	4.6 (4.4, 4.8)	0.492				
AST (IU/L)	41.0 (35.0, 48.0)	41.0 (34.5, 50.3)	0.836				
ALT (IU/L)	27.0 (22.0, 38.0)	28.5 (22.3, 40.5)	0.653				
ECP (µg/L)	34.60 (18.2, 37.0)	35.77 (30.3, 36.8)	0.605				
Cow's milk-IgE (IU/L)	0.04 (0.01, 0.12)	0.06 (0.01, 0.12)	0.619				
Soy-IgE (IU/L)	0.01 (0.01, 0.06)	0.01 (0.01, 0.01)	0.100				
Alpha-lactalbumin-IgE (IU/L)	0.01 (0, 0.01)	0.01 (0.01, 0.02)	0.074				
Beta-lactoglobulin-IgE (IU/L)	0.01 (0, 0.01)	0.01 (0, 0.01)	0.714				
Casein-IgE (IU/L)	0.01 (0, 0.03)	0.01 (0.01, 0.05)	0.909				
Total IgE (IU/L)	13.00 (4.00, 18.81)	10.54 (4.25, 30.0)	0.635				

Data are presented as median (interquartile range).

Comparison of continuous variables was calculated using Mann-Whitney U test.

PHF, partially hydrolyzed formula; SF, standard cow's milk formula; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; ECP, eosinophil cationic protein; IgE, immunoglobulin E; IU, international units.

### DISCUSSION

This study revealed that the growth and health indicators of PHF-fed infants were comparable to those of SF-fed infants during the first 6 mon of life. These results suggest that PHF may provide normal growth for infants who have difficulty with SF due to disorders such as CMA or malabsorption, which are consistent with previous results [18-20]. In addition, we found that cow's milk-based formula, whether SF or PHF did not cause atopic dermatitis in early infancy.

At baseline, 12 weeks and 24 weeks of age, there were no significant differences in weight, height, and weight-for-height in each sex between the PHF and SF groups. This study found



that infants in both groups grew up adequately. However, when looking at the mean change of growh parameter from baseline to 24 weeks of age, both weight-for-age and height-for-age z-scores were higher in the SF group than those in the PHF group, and higher the boys' group compared to the girls' group. At baseline, the weight-for-age z-score was higher in the PHF group, but at 24 weeks of age, it was higher in the SF group. The male infants were more in the SF group than the PHF group (71% vs. 49%) and the weight-for-age z-score was higher in the boys' group compared to the girls' group. Therefore, we presumed that the SF group gained more weight than the PHF group because more male infants were in the SF group.

In this study, none of the infants developed atopic dermatitis during 24 weeks of age. All subjects did not develop CMA. Cow's milk antigens usually cause the first allergic responsiveness in infancy. In addition, atopic dermatitis can be considered a possible skin symptom of CMA since atopic dermatitis and food allergy often occur together in early childhood. Therefore, we included specific IgE to cow's milk and incidence of atopic dermatitis in outcome measures and found that none of subjects developed a CMA or atopic dermatitis. These findings are consistent with the results that early introduction of allergenic foods such as egg or peanut are associated reduced risk of developing food allergy [24,25]. The results from recent cohort study and a randomized trial of early exposure to milk protein in the diet of breastfed infant have shown that the incidence for IgE-mediated CMA could be as low as 0.5% [26-28].

These infant formulas are produced in accordance with the regulations under strict hygienic conditions, and commercially available. Both formulas provided adequate levels of nutrients and were almost identical apart from hydrolysis of protein. The PHF was composed of whey protein hydrolysate hydrolyzed by enzymes to increase digestibility. It has been specifically developed to reduce common feeding problems and enhance tolerability in formula-fed infants.

Although the guidelines on infant feeding have changed over the decades, PHF has been available and used worldwide for a long time [29,30]. For high-risk infants who cannot be exclusively breastfed for 4–6 mon of age, the hydrolyzed formulas have been reported to decrease atopic dermatitis [8,31,32]. However, recent meta-analysis does not provide strong evidence for PHF's protective effect on prevention of allergy development in non-breastfed high-risk infants [16,33]. Thus, the British Society for Allergy and Clinical Immunology encourages parents to feed SF rather than hypoallergenic formula in infants who can tolerate cow's milk [34]. Recently, the PHF has been repositioned from products that reduce allergic reactions in high-risk infants to the products that reduce the crying time or episodes of regurgitation in infants with discomfort to SF.

The first 1,000 days in infants critical, characterized by rapid brain growth and development. Human milk is the golden standard of nutrition for infants during the first 1,000 days of life. However, exclusive breastfeeding is not always possible for infants and parents. Therefore, there are a lot of infant formula products chosen by caregivers' needs. PHF is generally used for healthy infant, infant who felt discomfort, or high-risk infants according to the recommendations and guidelines.

This study was a controlled trial in Korea which evaluated the effects of formula feeding. However, there were some limitations. First, we could not enroll infants who were exclusively breastfed. However, in real world, it is very difficult to extensively breastfeed infants up to 24 weeks in order to exclude the effects of formula feeding. Secondly, this was not a blinded study; the formulas provided to infants were disclosed to caregivers. Thirdly, we were unable



to recruit our target number of high-risk infants, therefore the number of subjects was not similar between groups. Our inclusion criteria were too strict to enroll. It was difficult to recruit infants younger than 2 mon of age who were not breastfed at any time during the study period, and recruiting infants having at least one first-degree family member with allergies was even harder. Because enrollment was difficult, and available data in SF were more, we recruited the PHF group first and then the SF group later. However, there were no differences in general characteristics of both groups. Moreover, despite these limitations, the obtained data were sufficient for comparative analyses. Finally, because we could not record the amount of formula consumed by each infant, we were unable to determine whether the infants who consumed more formula showed higher growth. However, we evaluated an overall growth pattern in infants who were fed formulas as much as they wanted. In addition, when we checked the amount of formula consumed by the infants in each formula group, infants in both groups consumed similar amounts.

In conclusion, this study demonstrated that not only PHF but also SF was well-accepted by infants who were at high-risk of allergic disease and potential candidates for consuming PHF, resulting in normal growth and hematological parameters up to 24 weeks of age. Therefore, infants who are unable to tolerate SF can be fed PHF without nutritional concerns about growth. If we evaluate the growth and development of infants who participated in this study later, we will be able to evaluate the differences of them between infants fed PHF and those SF in the early stage of life.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the participating infants and their parents. We also thank the clinicians for contacting caregivers to recruit participants.

# REFERENCES

- 1. World Health Organization. The optimal duration of exclusive breastfeeding: report of the expert consultation [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2001 [cited 2020 August 17]. Available from: https://apps.who.int/nutrition/publications/infantfeeding/WHO\_NHD\_01.09/en/index.html.
- Odom EC, Li R, Scanlon KS, Perrine CG, Grummer-Strawn L. Reasons for earlier than desired cessation of breastfeeding. Pediatrics 2013;131:e726-32.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Perrine CG, Galuska DA, Dohack JL, Shealy KR, Murphy PE, MLISGrummer-Strawn LM, Scanlon KS. Vital signs: improvements in maternity care policies and practices that support breastfeeding - United States, 2007–2013. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2015;64:1112-7.
   PUBMED L CROSSREF
- Kakute PN, Ngum J, Mitchell P, Kroll KA, Forgwei GW, Ngwang LK, Meyer DJ. Cultural barriers to exclusive breastfeeding by mothers in a rural area of Cameroon, Africa. J Midwifery Womens Health 2005;50:324-8.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Savino F, Palumeri E, Castagno E, Cresi F, Dalmasso P, Cavallo F, Oggero R. Reduction of crying episodes owing to infantile colic: a randomized controlled study on the efficacy of a new infant formula. Eur J Clin Nutr 2006;60:1304-10.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Vandenplas Y, Devreker T, Hauser B. A double-blinded, prospective trial with a new formula in distressed and regurgitating infants. Open Nutr J 2008;2:48-50.
   CROSSREF



- Xinias I, Analitis A, Mavroudi A, Roilides I, Lykogeorgou M, Delivoria V, Milingos V, Mylonopoulou M, Vandenplas Y. Innovative dietary intervention answers to baby colic. Pediatr Gastroenterol Hepatol Nutr 2017;20:100-6.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- von Berg A, Koletzko S, Grübl A, Filipiak-Pittroff B, Wichmann HE, Bauer CP, Reinhardt D, Berdel DGerman Infant Nutritional Intervention Study Group. The effect of hydrolyzed cow's milk formula for allergy prevention in the first year of life: the German Infant Nutritional Intervention Study, a randomized double-blind trial. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2003;111:533-40.
   PUBMED I CROSSREF
- Skripak JM, Matsui EC, Mudd K, Wood RA. The natural history of IgE-mediated cow's milk allergy. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2007;120:1172-7.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- American Academy of Pediatrics. Committee on Nutrition. Hypoallergenic infant formulas. Pediatrics 2000;106:346-9.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Giampietro PG, Kjellman NI, Oldaeus G, Wouters-Wesseling W, Businco L. Hypoallergenicity of an extensively hydrolyzed whey formula. Pediatr Allergy Immunol 2001;12:83-6.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Ragno V, Giampietro PG, Bruno G, Businco L. Allergenicity of milk protein hydrolysate formulae in children with cow's milk allergy. Eur J Pediatr 1993;152:760-2.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Rugo E, Wahl R, Wahn U. How allergenic are hypoallergenic infant formulae? Clin Exp Allergy 1992;22:635-9.

#### PUBMED | CROSSREF

- 14. Adler-Nissen J. Enzymic hydrolysis of food proteins. London: Elsevier Applied Science Publishers; 1986.
- Siemensma AD, Weijer WJ, Bak HJ. The importance of peptide lengths in hypoallergenic infant formulae. Trends Food Sci Technol 1993;4:16-21.
   CROSSREF
- 16. Cabana MD. The role of hydrolyzed formula in allergy prevention. Ann Nutr Metab 2017;70 Suppl 2:38-45. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 17. Greer FR, Sicherer SH, Burks AWAmerican Academy of Pediatrics Committee on NutritionAmerican Academy of Pediatrics Section on Allergy and Immunology. Effects of early nutritional interventions on the development of atopic disease in infants and children: the role of maternal dietary restriction, breastfeeding, timing of introduction of complementary foods, and hydrolyzed formulas. Pediatrics 2008;121:183-91.

#### PUBMED | CROSSREF

- Alexander DD, Cabana MD. Partially hydrolyzed 100% whey protein infant formula and reduced risk of atopic dermatitis: a meta-analysis. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2010;50:422-30.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Boyle RJ, Ierodiakonou D, Khan T, Chivinge J, Robinson Z, Geoghegan N, Jarrold K, Afxentiou T, Reeves T, Cunha S, et al. Hydrolysed formula and risk of allergic or autoimmune disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2016;352:i974.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Vandenplas Y, Latiff AHA, Fleischer DM, Gutiérrez-Castrellón P, Miqdady MS, Smith PK, von Berg A, Greenhawt MJ. Partially hydrolyzed formula in non-exclusively breastfed infants: a systematic review and expert consensus. Nutrition 2019;57:268-74.
   PUBMED I CROSSREF
- Lucassen PL, Assendelft WJ, Gubbels JW, van Eijk JT, Douwes AC. Infantile colic: crying time reduction with a whey hydrolysate: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Pediatrics 2000;106:1349-54.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 22. Vandenplas Y, Hauser B, Van den Borre C, Clybouw C, Mahler T, Hachimi-Idrissi S, Deraeve L, Malfroot A, Dab I. The long-term effect of a partial whey hydrolysate formula on the prophylaxis of atopic disease. Eur J Pediatr 1995;154:488-94.
  PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 23. World Health Organization. WHO child growth standards: length/height-for-age, weight-for-age, weight-for-length, weight-for-height and body mass index-for-age: methods and development [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2006 [cited 2020 August 17]. Available from: https://www.who.int/childgrowth/standards/en/.



- Du Toit G, Roberts G, Sayre PH, Bahnson HT, Radulovic S, Santos AF, Brough HA, Phippard D, Basting M, Feeney M, et al. Randomized trial of peanut consumption in infants at risk for peanut allergy. N Engl J Med 2015;372:803-13.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Perkin MR, Logan K, Tseng A, Raji B, Ayis S, Peacock J, Brough H, Marrs T, Radulovic S, Craven J, et al. Randomized trial of introduction of allergenic foods in breast-fed infants. N Engl J Med 2016;374:1733-43.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Katz Y, Rajuan N, Goldberg MR, Eisenberg E, Heyman E, Cohen A, Leshno M. Early exposure to cow's milk protein is protective against IgE-mediated cow's milk protein allergy. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2010;126:77-82.e1.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Schoemaker AA, Sprikkelman AB, Grimshaw KE, Roberts G, Grabenhenrich L, Rosenfeld L, Siegert S, Dubakiene R, Rudzeviciene O, Reche M, et al. Incidence and natural history of challenge-proven cow's milk allergy in European children--EuroPrevall birth cohort. Allergy 2015;70:963-72.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Peters RL, Koplin JJ, Dharmage SC, Tang MLK, McWilliam VL, Gurrin LC, Neeland MR, Lowe AJ, Ponsonby AL, Allen KJ. Early exposure to cow's milk protein is associated with a reduced risk of cow's milk allergic outcomes. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract 2019;7:462-470.e1.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Szajewska H, Horvath A. Meta-analysis of the evidence for a partially hydrolyzed 100% whey formula for the prevention of allergic diseases. Curr Med Res Opin 2010;26:423-37.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Vandenplas Y, Alarcon P, Fleischer D, Hernell O, Kolacek S, Laignelet H, Lönnerdal B, Raman R, Rigo J, Salvatore S, et al. Should partial hydrolysates be used as starter infant formula? A working group consensus. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2016;62:22-35.

  PUBMED I CROSSREF
- Fleischer DM, Spergel JM, Assa'ad AH, Pongracic JA. Primary prevention of allergic disease through nutritional interventions. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract 2013;1:29-36.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 32. von Berg A, Filipiak-Pittroff B, Krämer U, Hoffmann B, Link E, Beckmann C, Hoffmann U, Reinhardt D, Grübl A, Heinrich J, et al. Allergies in high-risk schoolchildren after early intervention with cow's milk protein hydrolysates: 10-year results from the German Infant Nutritional Intervention (GINI) study. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2013;131:1565-73.
  PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Osborn DA, Sinn JK, Jones LJ. Infant formulas containing hydrolysed protein for prevention of allergic disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2018;10:CD003664.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 34. Turner PJ, Feeney M, Meyer R, Perkin MR, Fox AT. Implementing primary prevention of food allergy in infants: new BSACI guidance published. Clin Exp Allergy 2018;48:912-5.
  PUBMED | CROSSREF